Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Targeted Watershed

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Targeted Watershed		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Quality - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
 a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to animal feeding operations, or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures? 	Yes O or No O
1. b. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a designated impaired water body?	Yes O or No O
1. c. Reduce sediment, nutrients or pesticides from agricultural operations located within a field that adjoins a water body?	Yes O or No O
Clean and Abundant Water: Water Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
2. a. Increase groundwater recharge in identified groundwater depletion areas (http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/rasa/html/TOC.html)?	Yes O or No O
2. b. Conserve water from irrigation system improvements and result in estimated water savings of at least 5% and saved water will be available for other beneficial uses?	Yes O or No O
2. c. Conserve water in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes O or No O
Clean Air: Treatment of Air Quality from Agricultural Sources - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
3. a. Meet regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
3. b. Reduce green house gases such as methane, nitrous oxide, and volatile organic compounds (VOC)?	Yes O or No O
3. c. Increase carbon sequestration?	Yes O or No O
High Quality, Productive Soils Erosion Reduction - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
4. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes O or No O
Healthy Plant and Animal Communities Wildlife Habitat Conservation - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
5. a. Benefit threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern as identified in a State wildlife plan?	Yes O or No O
5. b. Retain wildlife and plant benefits on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)?	Yes O or No O
High Quality, Productive Soils, Healthy Plant and Animal Communities: Special Environmental Efforts/Initiatives - Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
6. a. Eradicate or control noxious or invasive species?	Yes O or No O
6. b. Increase, improve or establish pollinator habitat?	Yes O or No O
6. c. Implement precision agricultural methods?	Yes O or No O
6. d. Properly dispose of animal carcasses?	Yes O or No O
6. e. Implement an Integrated Pest Management plan?	Yes O or No O
Energy Conservation – Will the proposed project assist the producer to:	
7. a. Reduce energy consumption on the agricultural operation?	Yes O or No O
7. b. Increase on-farm energy efficiency with more efficient equipment?	Yes O or No O

7. c. Assist in implementing energy conservation measures that reduce emissions from GHGs and air pollutants?	Yes O or No O
Business Lines - Conservation Implementation Additional Ranking Considerations - Will the proposed project result in:	
8. a. Implementation of all planned conservation practices within three years of contract obligation?	Yes O or No O
8. b. Improvement of existing conservation practices or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is accepted, or will complete an existing conservation system?	
Does the applicant meet the following conditions:	
9. a. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract, has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance?	
9. b. Did the applicant successfully complete any past contract(s) in full compliance?	
9. c. Is this the applicant's first EQIP application?	

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
Water Quality – EPA Watersheds	
1. Does the application include core conservation practices that will be implemented within ¼ mile of a stream or water body that is threatened (i.e., receives significant runoff of excess nitrogen and/or phosphorous), on the EPA 303(d) list, or is impaired with a TMDL in place and therefore not on the 303(d) list (or other critical stream or water body authorized by the Regional Conservationist)?	Yes O or No O
Geographic Impacts	
2. Are core conservation practices planned on the offered acres? i. Greater than 75 percent of the offered acres are within the targeted watershed, AND ii. Greater than 75 percent of the offered acres have a core conservation practice planned for application	Yes O or No O
Collaborative Efforts	
3. Are core conservation practices planned within an existing State agency or other non-USDA water quality project area addressing the same or similar pollutants?	
Effort to address watershed impairments	
4. Does this program application include the implementation of a system of conservation practices which address the primary watershed impairments?	Yes O or No O
High Risk Soils	
5. Are core conservation practices to be implemented on offered acres with a majority of soil types that are classified hydrologic group D (high runoff) or group A (high infiltration)?	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions		
Utilizing a systems Approach - Answer yes to either 1 or 2, not both.		
1. Will the implementation of planned conservation practices on at least 50 percent of the offered acres result in the existence of a conservation system where a practice exists in each of the avoid, trap, and control categories (see national bulletin 300-12-29, Attachment C)?	Yes O or No O	
2. Will the implementation of planned conservation practices on at least 50 percent of the offered acres result in the existence of a conservation system where a practice exists in at least 2 of the avoid, trap, and control categories (see national bulletin 300-12-29, attachment C)?	Yes O or No O	
Addressing Stream Issues		
3. Are at least 25% of planned conservation practices within 500-feet of a blue line stream on a Vermont Hydrography Data Layer within the impaired watershed?		
Addressing Soil Factors that Influence Water Quality		
4. Will the application of conservation practices reduce the STIR rating to less than 15 on over 75% of the offered acres?	Yes O or No O	
5. Do the offered acres include an area of at least 5 acres in size that is identified as a Critical Source Area by the Missisquoi Basin SWAT analysis and the area will be treated for soil erosion or nutient management?	Yes O or No O	

Addressing Specific Impairments	
6. Will livestock be excluded from all streams on the offered acres where livestock currently have stream access?	Yes O or No O
7. Will a cover crop be utilized on at least 50 percent of of the offered acres where annual crops are grown?	Yes O or No O

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: